Website Evaluation Form

**Instructions for use:**

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

*Before you distribute this form to your evaluators,* you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

**I. General Information**

1. URL of site evaluated: http://cb386.neocities.org/pilot%20site/carson\_banks\_pilot\_site.html
2. Author of site evaluated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Carson Banks\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
3. Evaluator’s first name:­­\_\_\_\_\_\_Preston\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**II.** **Web site was evaluated on the following system**

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows XP, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 512 MB RAM):

Dell Latitude Laptop running Windows 10

1. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 8.0, Mozilla Firefox 2.0)

Internet Explorer

1. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):

Modem**III Design and Development**

**Instruction**: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **DESIGN** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Divided logically, may look better with links running down side** |
| 1. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Pictures on home page seemed cluttered.** |
| 1. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Navigation is very easy to follow.** |
| 1. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Yes** |
| 1. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Could use more photos, does look good and have a clear purpose right now though.** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Everything loads very quickly** |
| 1. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**All photos are crammed together.** |
| 1. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Text is easy to read and is contrasted with the background.** |
| 1. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Yes** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **CONTENT** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**All titles are appropriate and match the pages they represent.** |
| 1. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Links clearly labeled and have specific purposes** |
| 1. Links are up-to-date and functional.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Two of the links do not lead to anything right now.** |
| 1. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback |
| 1. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Content is very informative for users making them want to visit back.** |
| 1. Information is accurate and current.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**All info is current and up-to-date.** |
| 1. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Site is a useful source of information for members of the team or possibly prospective members.** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **CREDIBILITY** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Didn’t notice much credibility details.** |
| 1. Contact person with e-mail address is included.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Many contacts listed, however no email or ways to contact present.** |
| 1. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Point of view is kept to self, no bias is present.** |
| 1. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**All material is original**  |
| 1. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**Some grammatical errors present within webpages.** |
| 1. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback**No date of when material was created.** |